

MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 27/06/2024

Meeting number **CCAG031**

Venue **Virtual – MS Teams**

Date and time **26 June 2024 10:00-12:00**

Classification **Public**

New / Outstanding Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
Horizon Scanning Log	CCAG31-01	Follow up through Elexon transition for the Service Management to be progressed and timings need to be communicated.	BSC (Lawrence Jones)	24/07/24
M6 Reporting, CCAG Risks and Milestones	CCAG31-02	Communicate risk R920 CCAG escalation to Paul Pettit to provide assurance of Swagger DES138 alignment or the plan to amend misalignment.	Programme (Paul Pettit)	24/07/24
Summary and Next Steps	CCAG31-03	Cancel CDWG1&2 due to low volume of meeting content.	Programme (PMO)	27/06/24
Previous Actions	CCAG30-01	DIP 101 issue to be added to the Horizon Scanning Log.	Elexon (Chris Day) (Lawrence Jones)	26/06/24
	CCAG30-02	Cancel CDWG1 and host CDWG2, to provide an update of consistency consultation to the consultation date as possible.	Programme (PMO)	24/05/24

Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
Headline Report and Actions	CCAG-DEC54	Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 22 May 2024 approved.

RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
Headline Report and Actions	<p>DECISION: Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 22 May 2024 approved (CCAG-DEC54).</p>
Horizon Scanning Log	<p>The REC Code Manager provided an update on R0006, R0060, R0181, and R0183.</p> <p>The Independent Supplier Representative highlighted how the data is shared for Data Premise Indicator in the Registration Service Monitor. The Ofgem Representative responded that for Domestic Premise Indicator Colleagues, they are interested in understanding the segment of the non-domestic space.</p> <p>The Programme provided a DCUSA update on DCP430 and DCP433.</p> <p>The BSC Representative provided an update on P474.</p> <p>The REC Representative had a query on the traceability spreadsheet published on Monday. There were some areas on the matrix that notes there are MHHS requirements that need to go into DIP rules that are not part of P474, and if there can be a better understanding about the requirements introduced or the plan to get them into the DIP rules.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Programme replied that the service levels have been added to the BSC service description for BSC Central Services MHHS Metering Systems, which has been in the MHHS consultation. Others can be highlighted and added to text later through other changes, there will be other opportunities to raise them. • Ofgem added that one of the areas is Service Management for the entirety of MHHS arrangement. Ofgem has not seen anything being related to DIP or wider ecosystem that underpins MHHS. • ACTION: Follow up through Elexon transition for the Service Management to be progressed and timings need to be communicated (CCAG31-01). • The Chair added that because the work processes are not visible to everyone, it does not mean they are not happening. The discussion of Service Management should be taking place in MCAG, not CCAG. <p>The Independent Supplier Representative raised that there was a CUSC working group yesterday, but the papers are not viewable for those outside of the working group, making it disappointing to not be able to see the progress.</p>
CDWG Escalations	<p>There were no CDWG escalations.</p>
M6 Reporting, CCAG Risks and Milestones	<p>The Programme provided an update on the M6 Code Workstream.</p> <p>Discussions between PPs and the Programme have been summarised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With the DIP consultation, if there are any concerns about the DIP text then PPs should respond to the DIP consultation about those. The DIP consultation is being looked at separately and then slightly later the Consistency Check has been issued which includes the matrix. The items

	<p>in pink will be added to the DIP governance. There may be slight confusion as the conversation with Chris Wood took place before this matrix was finalised. The five areas in the matrix, in pink have been acknowledged that they will be part of the DIP governance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Supplier Agent Representative was concerned with some items on the matrix that are not part of the consultation. The Programme provided assurance that there were hundreds of requirements but have come forward with five items to be added. ○ The REC Representative added that it is helpful there is assurance these items will be added to the DIP rules, but it is subject to a new set of governance arrangements and still understanding how these will work in practise. ○ The Independent Supplier Agent Representative raised that average volumes does not work in the industry effectively due to the varying volumes across parties, and it is building cost. The requirements are detailed in the design so the code requirements need to align to the design. If this is in the design, then it will be a design query, as there are target volumes that will be unattainable for smaller parties. The REC Representative replied that from a Qualification and Testing point of view, the design artefacts are the ones that are being used for the purpose of designing the test scenarios and cases. CCAG is making sure that the design artefacts are naturally translated into the Code Drafting. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● For the REC consultation that went out on Monday, the work on the interface and drafting has not been completed yet. REC have spotted an issue with the documents that have previously been circulated, so these are being corrected with the aim they go out for consultation on Friday. ● The REC Representative flagged risk R920, which is around the alignment between Swagger and DES138, and that they have not received a response from the design team after chasing. The main mitigation is having the confidence of alignment as part as 8.1. As they have not heard back there is nervousness around the M6 Consistency Check, to make sure the alignment between Design and Code Drafting. The second mitigation is that any discrepancies found after 12 June, they will be picked up post go-live however there is not agreed approach for this / a process in place. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ ACTION: Communicate risk R920 CCAG escalation to Paul Pettit to provide assurance of Swagger DES138 alignment or the plan to amend misalignment (CCAG31-02). ○ The Independent Supplier Agent Representative asked if the Programme has confirmed in Swagger and DES 138 are IR8.1 aligned. From a Programme email, there are discrepancies, and they will be addressed post go-live. ○ The Independent Supplier Agent Representative also raised if there are any further changes made to Swagger, so the Code Drafting can be kept as aligned as possible over the next few months. The Programme replied that this will be covered by the Change Freeze.
M7 Delivery Update	<p>Ofgem provided an update on M7 Delivery and SCR timelines.</p> <p>A Change Request has been raised, and it is going to Change Board next week (Tuesday 02 July) where it will be assigned a CR number.</p> <p>The BSC Representative highlighted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Would be supportive if the date of M7 was moved back, this would give Ofgem more time to process, ● The risk that there is a one-day turnaround for panel meetings/issuing consultations. <p>Ofgem replied to the sooner that parties realise they will not achieve the timelines to make Ofgem aware, there is enough flexibility built in to manage a couple of days delay.</p>

BSC Subsidiary Code Documents	The BSC Representative provided an update on BSC guidance notes and Simple Guides.
CDWG Update	The Programme provided updates on June CDWG.
Summary and Next Steps	<p>ACTION: Cancel CDWG1&2 due to low volume of meeting content (CCAG31-03).</p> <p>The Domestic Supplier Representative asked if there has been anything received back regarding the License Review from Ofgem. Ofgem replied that they will formally respond to it but agree with what was sent from the Programme. There are some housekeeping changes, and there are discussions in different parts of Ofgem around the need for profile classes which is being addressed from the infrastructure and delivery/scheme colleagues. There may be a need to keep profile classes for other purposes, but from the purpose of settlement they are not needed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Independent Supplier Agent Representative asked about older recommendations about export and registering the old export sites. Ofgem replied that the initial response from colleagues is that there are no timelines it is for the industry through activities to identify an appropriate process that does not have a detrimental impact on the end consumer. • The Chair added that parties will need to move the export MPAN within one month of the import being moved but cannot see a logical reason why it should be required due to the staggered Migration being implemented by the Programme. The Programme has established that there is no plan to move the exporting sites until after Migration. There will need to be engagement with Ofgem to see if there is potential to get the obligations from license issues into secondary legislation. • From a Supplier's point of view there is a risk of consumer detriment if this is done too quickly and for other suppliers how it could negatively impact parties on the FIT scheme. The Programme may need to look at what the time scales in the approach for that are and see if Ofgem are happy to engage in that and the right time scale for that.

Next CCAG: 24 July 2024 at 10:00am

Next CDWG1: 13 August 2024 at 10:00am

Attendees

Chair

Chris Welby (CW)

Role

SRO Chair

Industry Representatives

Andrew Wallace (AW)

Christopher Day (CD)

Clare Hannah (CH)

Paul Saker (PS)

Richard Vernon

Tom Chevalier (TC)

REC Representative

Elexon Representative

Supplier Agent Representative

Supplier Representative (Domestic)

DCC Representative

Supplier Agent Representative (Independent Supplier Agent)

MHHS Programme

Andrew Margan (AM)

Kevin Spencer (KS)

Lola Gbadamasi (LG)

Navdeep Seira (NS)

Code Lead

Project Manager

PMO Governance Analyst

Other attendees

Fungai Madzivadondo (FM)

Harriet Truss (HF)

Jenny Boothe (JB)

Lawrence Jones (LJ)

Mark DeSouza (MD)

Rhiannon Harrison (RH)

Sinead Quinn (SQ)

Tim Newton (TN)

Energy Networks Representative

REC Code Manager

Ofgem

BSC Representative

Elexon

PWC

Ofgem

Gemserv

Apologies

Andrew Green (AG)

David Kemp (DK)

John Lawton (JL)

Neil Dewar (ND)

Supplier Representative (I&C Suppliers)

DCC Representative

DCUSA Representative

National Grid ESO Representative